PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN

FOR

SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LANDS MANAGEMENT ACT PROJECT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA





National Park Service



U.S. Forest Service



U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

FEBRUARY 2007

FORWARD

This document is prepared specifically for the efforts related to this project. Since many factors will influence progress, this document will be updated and kept current as the project advances. Questions concerning this document should be directed to the Corps of Engineers, Omaha District Project Manager for the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act Project, Ms. Kimberlee K. Mulhern at commercial (402) 221-7735. Information and comments may be faxed to (402) 221-7769, e-mail address:

kimberlee.k.mulhern@usace.army.mil

Written inquiries can be mailed to:

Commander
Omaha District, Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CENWO-ED-GG (Mulhern)
106 South 15th Street
Omaha NE 68102

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Project Information	1
1.1	Location	1
1.2	History	1
1.3	Project Goals	1
1.4	Corps of Engineers/BLM Agreements	2
1.5	BLM Actions to Date	
1.6	USACE/BLM Actions to Date	2
1.7		2
1.7	7.1 Next Steps for BLM	2
	7.2 Next Steps for USACE and BLM	2
1.8	Authorization Language	3
1.8	8.1 Economy in Government Act	
1.8	8.2 Intergovernmental Cooperation Act	
	8.3 Chief's Economy Act (10 USC 3036(d)(2))	4
2.0	Organization, Responsibilities	5
2.1	Organizational Breakdown Structure (OBS)	5
2.2	Responsibilities	
	2.1 Bureau of Land Management	6
	2.2 National Park Service	
	2.3 United States Army Corps of Engineers	
	2.4 United States Forest Service	
	2.5 State of Nevada, Division of Minerals	
	Funding	
3.1	Available Funding as of July 2006	
3.2	Administrative Costs	
3.3	Contract Execution Summary/ To Date:	
3.4	Corps In-house Technical Services	
3.5	Future Funding Levels	
	Schedule	
	Scope of Work	
5.1	Project Manager Role	
5.2	Task Description:	
	Acquisition Strategy	
	Reporting Requirements	
7.1	Tracking Spreadsheet	
7.2	Monthly Progress Reports	
7.3	Biological and Plant Survey Reports	
7.4	Cultural Survey Report	
7.5	Bat Gate/Cupolas Installation Reports	
7.6	Backfill Reports	
	Quality Control/Quality Assurance (QC/QA) Plan	
9.0	Safety Plan	13

APPENDICES

- A LOCATION MAP
- B PRIMARY POINTS OF CONTACTS
- C INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT (IAG) TASK ORDER BETWEEN BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (BLM) AND UNITED STATES CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)

PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LANDS MANAGEMENT ACT PROJECT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 Location

The Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA) Project site includes Clark County areas surrounding Las Vegas, Nevada. The project is located within the boundaries of the Los Angeles District of the Corps of Engineers and is being performed by a virtual team of Corps personnel from Los Angeles (CESPL), Sacramento (CESPK), and Omaha (CENWO) Districts. The federal partners with the Corps for this project include the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the National Park Service (NPS) and the Department of Agriculture, United States Forest Service (USFS). Funding for the project was provided to these three agencies by the SNPLMA. Other stakeholders include the Nevada Division of Minerals (NDOM). This effort includes four SNPLMA projects. One has both NPS and USFS as partners (the Round 5 cultural, biological, and plant surveys), one has only NPS (the Round 6 backfills), and two are only BLM (the Round 4 and Round 5 bat gates and cupolas).

1.2 History

SNPLMA became law in October 1998. It allows BLM to sell public land within a specific boundary around Las Vegas, Nevada. Proceeds from those sales are then made available for certain types of projects. Projects that are funded by SNPLMA are submitted each year to the Secretary of Interior for approval. The Secretary has approved six years of funding (six rounds) since 1998.

1.3 Project Goals

The goal of this project is to appropriately secure abandoned mine sites to prevent unsafe access by the public. Where the abandoned mine sites are inhabited by bat populations, public access will be prevented by the installation of bat gates or cupolas on the openings into the mines (adits, shafts, etc.). Otherwise, access will be prevented by backfilling the openings into the mines in a manner that will not damage features of cultural interest or significant flora and fauna at the sites. At a minimum of 330 abandoned mine sites, cultural surveys will be managed by CESPL, and biological and plant surveys will be performed by CESPK. Based on the results of these surveys, these abandoned mines will be either backfilled or secured with bat gates/cupolas by CENWO.

1.4 Corps of Engineers/BLM Agreements

This project is being performed under an IntraGovernmental Order (IGO) between the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Nevada State office (BLM-NSO) and the CESPK. A copy of the IGO is provided in Appendix B.

1.5 BLM Actions to Date

The project was initiated in June 2006. The BLM Nevada State Office has obligated \$1,558,613.00 to Sacramento District (CESPK) for reimbursable work under the IGO referenced in Section 1.4 above.

1.6 USACE/BLM Actions to Date

An initial meeting attended by USACE personnel with BLM, NPS, USFS, and NDOM occurred on 28 and 29 June 2005. BLM, NPS, and USFS personnel collectively developed a scope of work for the project. The scope of work, Determination and Findings (D&F), and IGO were provided to CESPK in February. A site visit occurred 21 and 22 March 2006. The IGO was signed by the CESPD Commander on 31 May 2006. BLM-NSO received a signed copy of the IGO on 12 June 2006 and initiated obligation of funds. These funds have been transmitted by Sacramento District to Omaha District for further distribution to all USACE districts, contract awards, project management, technical support, and in-house technical efforts. The final list of 330 Priority Sites was provided by BLM on 05 July 2006. Distribution of funds to CESPK and CESPL were occurred the week of 10 July 2006.

1.7 Next Steps

1.7.1 Next Steps for BLM

BLM-NSO will prepare a D&F and amend the IGO to include obligation of Round 6 funds. BLM-NSO and NDOM personnel will lead a site visit with the USACE PM on 26 July 2005.

1.7.2 Next Steps for USACE and BLM

Once received from BLM-NSO, the amended IGO will be signed and returned. Once the signed amended IGO is received by BLM-NSO, they will initiate obligation of the Round 6 funds.

A contract for cultural surveys will be awarded and managed by the Los Angeles District Corps office. Biological and plant surveys will be performed by Sacramento District in-house personnel. Omaha District will provide project management, funds management, and reporting, as well as either backfill of

mine openings or construction and installation of bat gates and/or cupolas as appropriate.

1.8 Authorization Language

Interagency and International Services (IIS) is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) program under which the Corps provides technical assistance to non-Department of Defense (DOD) federal agencies, state and local governments, Indian Nations, private U.S. firms, international organizations, and foreign governments. Most IIS is reimbursable support services. The most common authorities in the IIS program are the Economy in Government Act (Economy Act, or 31 USC 1535), the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act (Thomas Amendment, or 31 USC 6505), and the Chief's Economy Act (10 USC 3036(d)(2)). The Intergovernmental cooperation act authorization authorizes federal agencies to provide support to State or Local governments and is not applicable in this Nevada RAMS concept. Services provided by the remaining authorities may include contracted or in-house services for all phases of project execution including study, design, and construction. Projects may include any phase of regulatory compliance, restoration, reclamation, or remediation. An Interagency Agreement (IAG) should be in effect prior to arranging IIS services.

1.8.1 Economy in Government Act

Title 31, Subtitle II, Chapter 15, Subchapter III

§ 1535. Agency agreements

- (a) The head of an agency or major organizational unit within an agency may place an order with a major organizational unit within the same agency or another agency for goods or services if—
 - (1) amounts are available;
 - (2) the head of the ordering agency or unit decides the order is in the best interest of the United States Government;
 - (3) the agency or unit to fill the order is able to provide or get by contract the ordered goods or services; and
 - (4) the head of the agency decides ordered goods or services cannot be provided by contract as conveniently or cheaply by a commercial enterprise.
- (b) Payment shall be made promptly by check on the written request of the agency or unit filling the order. Payment may be in advance or on providing the goods or services ordered and shall be for any part of the estimated or actual cost as determined by the agency or unit filling the order. A bill submitted or a request for payment is not subject to audit or certification in advance of payment. Proper adjustment of amounts paid in advance shall be made as agreed to by the heads of the agencies or units on the basis of the actual cost of goods or services provided.

- (c) A condition or limitation applicable to amounts for procurement of an agency or unit placing an order or making a contract under this section applies to the placing of the order or the making of the contract.
- (d) An order placed or agreement made under this section obligates an appropriation of the ordering agency or unit. The amount obligated is deobligated to the extent that the agency or unit filling the order has not incurred obligations, before the end of the period of availability of the appropriation, in—
 - (1) providing goods or services; or
 - (2) making an authorized contract with another person to provide the requested goods or services.
- (e) This section does not-
 - (1) authorize orders to be placed for goods or services to be provided by convict labor; or
 - (2) affect other laws about working funds.

1.8.2 <u>Intergovernmental Cooperation Act</u>

Title 31, Subtitle V, Chapter 65

- § 6505. Authority to provide specialized or technical services
- (a) The President may prescribe statistical and other studies and compilations, development projects, technical tests and evaluations, technical information, training activities, surveys, reports, documents, and other similar services that an executive agency is especially competent and authorized by law to provide. The services prescribed must be consistent with and further the policy of the United States Government of relying on the private enterprise system to provide services reasonably and quickly available through ordinary business channels.
- (b) The head of an executive agency may provide services prescribed by the President under this section to a State or local government when—
 - (1) written request is made by the State or local government; and
 - (2) payment of pay and all other identifiable costs of providing the services is made to the executive agency by the State or local government making the request.
- (c) Payment received by an executive agency for providing services under this section shall be deposited to the credit of the principal appropriation from which the cost of providing the services has been paid or will be charged.
- (d) The authority under this section is in addition to authority under another law in effect on October 16, 1968.

1.8.3 Chief's Economy Act (10 USC 3036(d)(2))

Title 10, Subtitle B, Part I, Chapter 305, § 3036

(d) (2) Under the supervision of the Secretary, the Chief of Engineers may accept orders to provide services to another department, agency, or instrumentality of

the United States or to a State or political subdivision of a State. The Chief of Engineers may provide any part of those services by contract. Services may be provided to a State, or to a political subdivision of a State, only if—

- (A) the work to be undertaken on behalf of non-Federal interests involves Federal assistance and the head of the department or agency providing Federal assistance for the work does not object to the provision of services by the Chief of Engineers; and
- (B) the services are provided on a reimbursable basis.

2.0 ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1 Organizational Breakdown Structure (OBS)

The OBS listed below depicts the organizations that will be involved in the execution of this project. The symbol will be used to reference the responsible organization.

ORGANIZATION	SYMBOL
Bureau of Land Management, Nevada State Office Las Vegas Field Office National Park Service U.S. Army Engineer Division, Northwestern Division US Army Engineering District, Omaha District Commander Deputy Cdr. Project Management Program Analysts Engineering Division Project Management/Technical Lead Contracting Division U.S. Army Engineer Division, South Pacific Division US Army Engineering District, Los Angeles District Commander Chief, Planning Division Chief, Environmental Resources Branch Archeologist/Technical Lead	BLM-NSO BLM-LVFO NPS CENWD CENWO-DE CENWO-PM-DDE CENWO-PM-PA CENWO-ED CENWO-ED CENWO-CT CESPD CESPL CESPL-DE CESPL-PD-R CESPL-PD-R
Contracting Division	CESPL-CT
US Army Engineering District, Sacramento District Commander Deputy Cdr. Project Management Program Analyst Planning Division Biologist U.S. Forest Service State of Nevada	CESPK CESPK-DE CESPK-PM-DDE CESPK-PM-M CESPK-PD CESPK-RP USFS
Division of Minerals	NDOM

2.2 Responsibilities

2.2.1 Bureau of Land Management

BLM is responsible for:

- Assuring actions fulfill federal and state regulatory requirements,
- Identifying environmental compliance standards,
- Draft/final approval of project scopes,
- Final approval of project deliverables,
- Co-project management in coordination with CENWO,
- Technical review and comment in the development of project objectives and priorities, and
- Coordination with Nevada State Agencies.

2.2.2 National Park Service

NPS is responsible for:

- Assuring actions fulfill federal and state regulatory requirements,
- Identifying environmental compliance standards,
- Draft/final approval of project scopes,
- Final approval of project deliverables,
- Co-project management in coordination with CENWO,
- Technical review and comment in the development of project objectives and priorities, and
- Coordination with Nevada State Agencies.

2.2.3 <u>United States Army Corps of Engineers</u>

2.2.3.1 Omaha District

CENWO is responsible for:

- Performing Project Manager functions in close coordination with BLM, NPS, and USFS as defined in the IAG TO negotiated,
- Execution, coordination and review of required work,
- Preparing, negotiating, and awarding contract task orders and modifications as needed.
- Contract oversight and funding disbursement,
- Assuring environmental compliance for actions,
- · Overall funding disbursement, and
- Final project audit.

2.2.3.2 Los Angeles District

CESPL is responsible for:

- Execution, coordination and review of required work,
- Preparing, negotiating, and awarding contract task orders and modifications as needed.
- Contract oversight and funding disbursement, and
- Assuring environmental compliance for actions.

2.2.3.3 Sacramento District

CESPK is responsible for:

- · Execution, coordination and review of required work and
- Assuring environmental compliance for actions.

2.2.4 <u>United States Forest Service</u>

NPS is responsible for:

- Assuring actions fulfill federal and state regulatory requirements,
- Identifying environmental compliance standards.
- Draft/final approval of project scopes,
- Final approval of project deliverables,
- Co-project management in coordination with CENWO,
- Technical review and comment in the development of project objectives and priorities, and
- Coordination with Nevada State Agencies.

2.2.5 State of Nevada, Division of Minerals

NDOM is responsible for:

- Draft/final approval of project scopes,
- Final approval of project deliverables,
- Co-project management in coordination with CENWO,
- Technical review and comment in the development of project objectives and priorities, and
- Coordination with federal agencies.

3.0 FUNDING

3.1 Available Funding as of February 2007

CESPK received the obligation for \$1,558,613 for reimbursable work under the IGO from BLM in mid-June 2006 and for \$339,500 in December 2007. Funds were provided as follows:

- Cultural Surveys -- \$657,877
- Biological and Plant Surveys -- \$465,242
- Round 4 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$46,000
- Round 5 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$389,494
- Round 6 Backfills -- \$339,500

On 29 June 2006, CESPK transmitted \$1,558,613.00 to CENWO for project management, technical and other support, and technical assistance contract awards. On 28 December 2007, CESPK transmitted \$339,500 to CENWO for project management and technical and other support.

3.2 Administrative Costs

The estimated Corps of Engineers administrative cost for Supervision and Review (S&R) for project management and technical support for this project is 8%. This figure includes any costs associated with the administration of the proposed project. Funding for administrative costs is broken down as follows:

- Cultural Surveys -- \$52,630
- Biological and Plant Surveys -- \$37,220
- Round 4 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$3,680
- Round 5 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$31,160
- Round 6 Backfills -- \$27,160

3.3 Contract Execution Summary/ To Date:

Contracting actions will be required for the Cultural Surveys and Round 5 Bat Gates and Cupolas. Cultural surveys contract totals \$603,000 for 343 sites. An estimated \$5,000 will be utilized for a contract for Bat Gate and Cupola construction and installation training using Round 5 funds.

3.4 Corps In-house Technical Services

The remainder of funds will be utilized for in-house technical services and related expenses by Corps personnel as follows:

- Cultural Surveys -- \$0
- Biological and Plant Surveys -- \$428,022

- Round 4 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$42,320
- Round 5 Bat Gates and Cupolas -- \$358,334
- Round 6 Backfills -- \$312,340

3.5 Future Funding Levels

No additional funding is anticipated as of February 2007.

4.0 SCHEDULE

Key Milestones for this project are as follows:

- Draft Project Management Plan Submitted for Review mid-July 2006
- Final Project Management Plan Submitted Revised as needed
- Cultural Surveys Award Task Order NLT 04 August 2006
- Cultural Surveys Completed early September 2007
- Begin Biological and Plant Surveys early August 2006
- Biological and Plant Surveys Completed (including all reports) NLT 30 September 2009
- Round 4 Bat Gate/Cupola Installations Completed Fall 2006 (temperatures permitting)
- Round 5 Bat Gate/Cupola Installations Started Fall 2006 (temperatures permitting)
- Round 5 Bat Gate/Cupola Installations Completed NLT 30 September 2009

5.0 SCOPE OF WORK

5.1 Project Manager Role

The Project Manager (PM) is responsible and accountable for successful completion and delivery of project products to customers within established costs, schedules and quality parameters. The PM provides leadership to the multi-disciplined, multi-district virtual project team with responsibility for assuring that the project stays focused on the customer's needs and expectations and that all work is integrated and done in accordance with a management plan and approved business processes. The PM assures that the customer's interests are properly represented within USACE and serves as the primary point of contact between the customer and the Corps. The PM can make district commitments within pre-assigned constraints as defined in the management plan in coordination with the Contracting Office (CENWO-CT).

5.2 Task Description:

The current Scope of Work includes 4 tasks:

Cultural Surveys

- Biological and Plant Surveys
- Round 4 Bat Gates/Cupolas
- Round 5 Bat Gates/Cupolas
- Round 6 Backfills

6.0 ACQUISITION STRATEGY

The acquisition strategy for the cultural surveys for this project will utilize an existing Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract managed by CESPL. The acquisition strategy for training in the construction and installation of bat gates and cupolas will utilize a project order for the preferred expert selected by the project team. The schedule provides for contract award for the cultural surveys in early August 2006 and for the bat gate/cupola training before the end of September 2006.

7.0 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Due to the number of complex elements in this project, there are multiple reporting requirements. These requirements are identified and described below.

7.1 Tracking Spreadsheet

The Corps of Engineers will develop and maintain a spreadsheet tracking the progress for this project. This spreadsheet will be updated at least monthly and posted to a password-secured FTP site accessible to project team members.

Key information to be tracked for each of the sites includes, but is not necessarily limited to:

- Hazard Identification Number
- Township, Range, Section, Quarter Section
- Topographic Sheet
- Hazard Rank
- Hazard Type
- UTM Coordinate North
- UTM Coordinate East
- Physical Security in Place
- Physical Security Method
- Security Installation Date
- Mine Name
- Land Management Agency
- SNPLMA Round Funding
- Start of Cultural Surveys
- Completion of Cultural Surveys
- Start of Biological/Plant Surveys

- Completion of Biological/Plant Surveys
- Cleared for Backfill
- Backfill Date
- Bat Gate/Cupola Round Funding
- · Cleared for Bat Gate
- Bate Gate Complete
- Cleared for Cupola
- Cupola Complete
- Remarks

7.2 Monthly Progress Reports

A monthly progress report will be provided to the project team. Information supplied in the report will include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following items:

- Round 4 Funding
 - o Total Funds Provided
 - Funds Expended Prior Months
 - o Funds Expended Current Month
 - o Total Funds Expended
 - Round 4 Bat Gates/Cupolas Installed
- Round 5 Funding
 - Cultural Surveys Status
 - Total Funds Provided
 - Funds Expended Prior Months
 - Funds Expended Current Month
 - Total Funds Expended
 - o Biological/Plant Surveys Status
 - Total Funds Provided
 - Funds Expended Prior Months
 - Funds Expended Current Month
 - Total Funds Expended
 - Biological/Plant Surveys Started
 - Prior Month
 - Current Month
 - Biological/Plant Surveys Completed
 - Prior Month
 - Current Month
 - Bat Gates/Cupolas Status
 - Total Funds Provided
 - Funds Expended Prior Months
 - Funds Expended Current Month
 - Total Funds Expended
 - Bat Gates/Cupolas Identified
 - Prior Month

- Current Month
- Bat Gates/Cupolas Completed
 - Prior Month
 - Current Month

7.3 Biological and Plant Survey Reports

The CESPK biologist will provide completed biological and plant survey reports to the USACE PM on a weekly or bi-weekly basis. This information will be tracked in the spreadsheet. A description of work completed for the current month will be provided by CESPK to USACE PM monthly for inclusion in the Monthly Progress Report.

- Biological/Plant Surveys Started
 - Prior Month
 - Current Month
- Biological/Plant Surveys Completed
 - Prior Month
 - Current Month

7.4 Cultural Survey Report

A description of work completed for the current month will be provided by CESPL to USACE PM monthly for inclusion in the Monthly Progress Report.

7.5 Bat Gate/Cupolas Installation Reports

Installation diagrams with photos will be provided upon completion of the installation of either a bat gate or a cupola. A new data sheet will be developed for documentation of the installations and will be provided to the project team for review and comment.

7.6 Backfill Reports

Backfill reports with photos will be provided upon completion of backfill. A new data sheet will be developed for documentation of the backfills and will be provided to the project team for review and comment.

8.0 QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE (QC/QA) PLAN

Quality Management is a comprehensive program to insure quality in all phases of the project. Quality Control (QC) is a contractor responsibility. QC plans will be developed by the contractor and included in the site specific work plans. The contractors QC plan will be reviewed as a part of the work plan review process. Omaha District will monitor the contractor's QC program for effectiveness. Quality Assurance (QA) is the Government's program to verify the effectiveness

of QC plans.

The Project Manager and stakeholders have a shared responsibility for quality of projects. Successful execution of quality projects requires that all functional elements and disciplines work together.

9.0 SAFETY PLAN

Safety integration into all business processes is an essential and invaluable asset. In accordance with (IAW) ER 5-1-11, Program and Project Management, new business practices require the development of a Project Management Plan (PMP). Safety integration is an essential part of every PMP in order to effectively protect our employees.

Safety is our primary concern for the activities on-site. For Corps led projects, a Government representative is required to monitor contractor activities from a quality assurance viewpoint. This includes the contractor's safety program. Under the terms of the contract, FAR 52-212-3 Stop-Work Order clause of the basic contract, the Contracting Officer has full authority to require the contractor to take any steps deemed necessary for maintaining safe operating conditions.

The contractor is obligated by the terms of the contract to protect the lives and health of persons exposed to their operations and to safeguard property and equipment from accidental loss or destruction. All work shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements in EM 385-1-1, Safety and Health Requirements manual, dated November 2003 and all changes posted at:

http://www.hq.usace.army.mil/soh/em385/changes/3nov03changes.htm

on the date of solicitation, as well as federal state and local codes and standards. When a difference in standards exists, the most stringent standard applies.

In addition to being a contract requirement, a well-planned and conscientiously applied accident prevention program is essential to the efficiency, quality, and scheduling of work and the minimization of costs. The prime contractor is responsible for informing their subcontractors of the safety provisions under the terms of the contract and the penalties for noncompliance, coordinating the work to prevent one craft from interfering with or creating hazardous working conditions for other crafts, and inspecting subcontractor operations to ensure that accident prevention responsibilities are being carried out. Public safety is paramount. Sites must be secured from public access.

Unsafe practices will not be tolerated. Reckless behavior or disregard of safety and health requirements will not be allowed to exist on Corps of Engineer projects. If any contractor employee endangers his own life, the lives of others or property by disregard of safety and health requirements, the contractor shall be

informed of the employee and his unacceptable attitude towards accident prevention. The contractor will be reminded of the contract requirements and be instructed to immediately resolve the problem.

When a Corps of Engineers employee identifies an immediate endangerment to life or health (eminent danger), a Stop-Work Order may be issued. Situations in this category include, but are not limited to, workers being crushed, buried, electrocuted, suffocated, thrown from moving equipment, falling, drowning, being blown up, etc. If any of the aforementioned safety concerns should arise, the following steps will be taken:


The PM or Corps employees on-site will instruct the contractor to immediately remove workers from the area of danger or to desist from the dangerous operation or practice.

If a representative of the contractor is not at the site, the PM or Corps employee on-site will order the workers to remove themselves from the dangerous location or to cease the dangerous operation or practice.

The PM or Corps employee on-site will ensure that the work is not resumed in the area of danger and that workers will not be involved in the operations or practices until recommendations for corrections have been fully complied with.

Defective equipment will not be operated until all deficiencies are corrected and the equipment meets inspection and testing requirements.

APPENDIX A LOCATION MAP



APPENDIX B PRIMARY POINTS OF CONTACT

Bureau of Land Management Nevada State Office ATTN: Chris Ross 1340 Financial Blvd. P.O. Box 12000 Reno NV 89520-0006 (775) 861-6571

Bureau of Land Management Las Vegas Field Office ATTN: Edward Seum 4701 N. Torrey Pines Drive Las Vegas NV 89130-2301 (702) 515-5070

National Park Service Lake Mead National Recreation Area ATTN: Bryan Moore 601 Nevada Way Boulder City, NV 89005 702-293-8901

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Omaha District ATTN: CENWO-ED-GG (Mulhern) 106 S. 15th Street Omaha NE 68102-4978 (402) 221-7735

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District ATTN: CESPK-PD-RP (Clevenger) 1325 J Street Sacramento CA 95814-2922 (916) 557-6705

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Los Angeles District ATTN: CESPL-PD-RN (Dibble) 915 Wilshire Blvd. 14009 Los Angeles CA 90017 U.S. Forest Service Ken Maas Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest 1200 Franklin Way Sparks, NV 89431 775.352.1223

U.S. Forest Service Steve Fechner Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest 1200 Franklin Way Sparks, NV 89431 775.352.1259

Nevada Division of Minerals ATTN: Bill Durbin 1771 E. Flamingo Road, 120-A Las Vegas NV 89119 (702) 486-4344

APPENDIX C INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT (IAG) TASK ORDER BETWEEN BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (BLM) AND UNITED STATES CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)

BLM For	m 1581-3 (Rev 8/13/03) IntraGovernmental Order		The second secon
	TO BE USED BY BOTH THE BUYER &		
-		 SELLER (Servicing Agency) Collects costs and ning of each Fiscal Year, the amount of "Carryove 	ALAX
	available to bill against.	ning of each riscal fear, the amount of Carryove	Taulus
e Pe	rtinent Information	BUYER	SELLER
	Agency Name:	Bureau of Land Management, LVFO 4701 N. Torrey Pines Drive	
3 RB	Agency Address (line 1) (from CCR) Agency Address (line 2) (from CCR)	Las Vegas, Nevada 89130	
Married Woman,	oint of Contact (POC):	BUYER	SELLER
4 RB/I	Technical POC: (Name & Title)	Roberta Hines, Contracting Officer	
5 6 RB	Technical POC: (Address) Technical (Phone #, Fax #, Email address)	1340 financial blvd POB12000 Reno NV89520 775-861-6413F775-861-6634rhines@nv.blm.gov	1325 J STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 916-557-6721*
7 RB	Administrative POC: (Name & Title)	EDWARD SEUM, GEOLOGIST	Jacquelyn C. Smith
_	Administrative POC: (Address, Office Code)	4701 N TORREY PINES DR-LAS VEGAS NV-053	1325 J STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 916-557-7422
9 RB	Administrative POC: (Phone #, Fax #, Email) Project Title and Description of Work:	702-515-5070F702-515-5150eseum@nv.blm.gov LMA-RND 5 BIO-EVALUATION(BIO&CUL)BAT-GATES4&5	
11	Delivery Address* (if different than in CCR)	4701 N TORREY PINES DR-LAS VEGAS NV-053	
12	Order Date*	2/22/2006 22-Feb-06	The state of the s
13 RI 14 RI	Agreement Start Date Agreement End Date	31-Dec-09	
15 RI	Fiscal Year (of funded amount) Fiscal Period*	2006 THRU 2010	
16	Funding Authorization expires*	30-Sep-10	SELLER
Ві	lling Data	BUYER	SELLER
17 RI	1 Treasury Account Symbol Appropriation*/** (TAS)	14X1109	
18 RI	Agency Location Code (ALC) Receiver Department Code=1st 2 digits of ALC	14-11-0008	00-00-8736
19 RI	Receiver Department Code=1st 2 digits of ALC except military		
20 RB	Standard General Ledger*/** Account (SGL)		
21 I	Business Event Type Code (BETC) DUNS number (If DOD use DODAAC No.)	84359236	D0D96912B
23 Fil	DUNS + 4 (if applicable)	3.00020	
0.1	OLT - N - D		
24 RI	Obligating Document Number (Order No.*/IGO#**)	FAI060015	
25 RI 26	Purchase Order Number (IA # */**) Modification No.	PA1000013	
27 1	Requisition Number		
281	Contract Number	201124.2-11.600000000000000000000000000000000000	
29	Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) (Line No.*/**)		
30 1	Oty, Unit, Unit Cost, Line Total / Line Amount		
311	Account Classification Number (Program Activity*/**)		
	Accounting Classification Reference Code	BC610 253G 1920PH Cl25=\$465,242.BC610253G1920IC	
32 1		BC26=\$46,000.BC610 253G1920IC BC29=\$389,494.&BC610 253G 1920PH CI25=\$657,877.	Period*)(by FY) Subactivity Program Element Project Code Budget Object Class (Object Class Code*) (Revenue/Profit Center** = Seller's
33	Cost Center* and Revenue/Profit Center**	 (Org code using items being purchased) 	" (Org code that earned revenue)
34 I	(ACT) Trace Number JAS Number		
36 1	Fiscal Station Number		
37 1	DOD Activity Address Code Capital Asset Indicator* (Yes/No)	The state of the s	
ACCRECATE VALUE OF	syment Terms	BUYER	SELLER
39	Tyment reims	Reimbursable	
-	inding Information (Total All Years)	BUYER	SELLER
40	Obligation Amount (this action)	\$1,558,613.00	
41	Previous Obligation Amount: (info only) Total Obligation Amount (Total Amount*)	\$0.00 \$1,558,613.00	
	nnual RWA Authorization	BUYER	SELLER
43	Carryover Funding-Fiscal Year(s))	\$0.00	
44	Original Funding - Current Fiscal Year	\$0.00	RESIDENCE TO A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
45	Prior Modifications - Current Fiscal Year New Modification (number and amount)	\$0.00 \$0.00	
47	Total Authority for Billing Current Fiscal Year	\$0.00	CALL THE CAL
48	Fee/OH Rate being assessed by Seller inature for Carryover Approval (Annual RWA Auth.)	(Buyer to incl. in obligated amount) BUYER	0% SELLER
Name and Address of the Owner, where		ure below represents validation that funds listed above are as	
49	Authorizing Official*	(Authorized Signature)	
50	Name (Printed)	Roberta Hines, Contracting Officer	AND MALL
51	Title:	SNPLMA CONTRACTING OFFICER	Joseph Schroedel, Brigadier General, US Army Commanding
52	Phone Number: Date Signed	775-861-6413 22-Feb-06	31-May-06
00		IGO (IA) Articles are an attachment in IDEAS (PD). Revise as	07-1107-00
	terminology	pertinent to specific IGO.	
	Both the Seller and the Buyer sign the Buyer's IGO (IA) The Buyer's IGO must contain all the required data elements.		
	This form may be completed and attached to the Buyer's IGO.		September 1